

Tutorial week 4: Frobenius–Mayer

1. Frobenius–Mayer:

A vector field V is called conservative iff $\text{curl } V = 0$. (Also written as $\nabla \times V = 0$.)

It is a well known fact (Calculus 2) that if V is a conservative vector field on an open subset W of \mathbb{R}^3 , then there is a function $U(x, y, z)$ such that $V = -\text{grad } U$ on W . (Also written as $V = -\nabla U$.)

- a. Show that the system of PDEs $\text{grad } U = -V$ is a Frobenius system, (a particularly simple Frobenius system), and find the appropriate consistency condition that is needed to apply the Frobenius Complete Integrability theorem.

Solution: Write $\text{grad } U = -V$ in the form

$$\frac{\partial U(x, y, z)}{\partial x^i} = -V_i(x, y, z)$$

This is a Frobenius system with one dependent variable, three independent variables, and no U dependence on the RHS — so the system is actually linear as opposed to the more typical quasi-linear case.

Because the RHS has no U dependence, the Frobenius integrability condition reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V_i}{\partial x^j} = \frac{\partial V_j}{\partial x^i} &\iff \partial_i V_j - \partial_j V_i &\iff \epsilon^{ijk} [\partial_i V_j - \partial_j V_i] = 0 \\ &&\iff \nabla \times \mathbf{V} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- b. Find the function U if:

i. $\vec{V} = xyz \vec{i} + (x^2z/2 - z \sin(yz)) \vec{j} + (x^2y/2 - y \sin(yz)) \vec{k}$.

Solution: First check the consistency condition

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times \vec{V} &= \begin{vmatrix} \vec{i} & \vec{j} & \vec{k} \\ \partial_x & \partial_y & \partial_z \\ xyz & x^2z/2 - z \sin(yz) & x^2y/2 - y \sin(yz) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \vec{i}([x^2/2 - \sin(yz) - yz \cos(yz)] - [x^2/2 - \sin(yz) - yz \cos(yz)]) \\ &\quad + \vec{j}(-[xy] + [xy]) + \vec{k}([xz] - [xz]) = \vec{0}. \end{aligned}$$

So yes, the consistency condition is satisfied.

There are now *many different ways* of calculating $U(x, y, z)$.

One of them is the following: Rewrite the system of PDEs to be solved as

$$\partial_x U = xyz$$

$$\partial_y U = x^2 z/2 - z \sin(yz)$$

$$\partial_z U = x^2 y/2 - y \sin(yz)$$

Integrate each of these PDE's independently, introducing suitable arbitrary functions:

$$U = x^2 yz/2 + f(y, z)$$

$$U = x^2 yz/2 + \cos(yz) + g(x, z)$$

$$U = x^2 yz/2 + \cos(yz) + h(x, y)$$

But all three of these equations must hold *simultaneously*.

Subtracting the last 2 equations we see

$$g(x, z) = h(x, y)$$

which can only be true iff

$$g(x, z) = h(x, y) = j(x)$$

But then subtracting the first 2 equations we have

$$f(y, z) = \cos(yz) + j(x)$$

which can only be true iff

$$f(y, z) = \cos(yz) + k; \quad j(x) = k$$

whence all 3 equations now agree that

$$U = x^2 yz/2 + \cos(yz) + k$$

You can now check this by differentiating.

[You could also get the same result by performing a line integral along any *arbitrary* path starting at (say) the origin, out to the point (x, y, z) .]

ii. $\vec{V} = (A/r^3) \vec{r}$.

Here A is some arbitrary but fixed constant, and $\vec{r} = x \vec{i} + y \vec{j} + z \vec{k}$ is the usual radius vector, \vec{r} , and $r = |\vec{r}|$.

Solution: We wish to solve

$$\nabla U = -\vec{V} = -(A/r^3) \vec{r} = -(A/r^2) \hat{r}$$

By inspection

$$U = A/r + C.$$

This is just the usual $1/r$ potential coming from an inverse-square law...